1 2	P O Box 50013	
3	Telephone: (408) 354-4413 Facsimile: (408) 354-5513	
4 5	Trustee for Debtor(s)	
6		
7	7	
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - DIVISION 5	
9	9	
10	0   In re:	
11		apter 13 se No. 09-5-6517 RLE
12	) ~=	COND AMENDED TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO ONFIRMATION WITH CERTIFICATE OF
13		RVICE
14		H. C. C. D. NOVEMBER 12 2000
15	5   Pre	Hearing Conference Date: NOVEMBER 12, 2009 Hearing Conference Time: 2:00 PM ce: 280 S. 1 <sup>st</sup> Street Room 3099
16	6	San Jose, CA
17	7 Debtor(s)	ge: Roger Efremsky
18	3	
19	Devin Derham-Burk, Trustee in the above matter, objects to the Confirmation of this Pro-tanto	
20	Plan for the following reasons:	
21	1	
22	1. The Trustee is unable to determine if the plan is feasible pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1325	
23	(a)(6). The Plan suggests that the monthly payments paid directly by the debtor to Chase	
24		
25	Home Equity would be \$758; however, according to Schedule J, the debtor is only	
26	budgeting for \$484 per month towards the home equity loan. It appears the debtor would	
27	have a monthly deficit of \$938.	
28	8	

Trustee's Objection to Confirmation –

Case: 09-56517 Doc# 32 Filed: 10/30/09 Entered: 10/30/09 12:29:51 Page 1 of 4

- 2. The Trustee is unable to determine whether 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(5) is met. The debtor lists the ongoing monthly mortgage payment to Chase Home Equity in Section 4 of the Plan as \$758. In Schedule J and the Statement of Current Monthly Income the debtor lists the payment as \$758. The Trustee requests the appropriate schedule be amended to list the correct payment amount.
- 3. The plan is in violation of 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(4), in that creditors would receive more under Chapter 7 liquidation. There is excess equity in the debtor's personal property in the amount of \$237,740, while unsecured creditors will receive nothing under the proposed protanto plan. Unsecured creditors must receive no less than \$237,740.
- 4. The plan is not feasible pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). The debtor is proposing a 'base plan,' with monthly plan payments of \$750.00 for 60 months, for a total 'pot' of \$45,000.00. This amount is not enough to cover priority debt, Attorney fees and Trustee fees. An amended plan must be filed. (Note: Internal Revenue Service filed a priority claim in the amount of \$48,101.)
- 5. The Trustee is unable to determine whether 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(5) is met. According to the Plan, Lexus Financial Services is listed in Section 4 for the debtor to be the disbursing agent. However, Schedule H lists Toyota Financial Services as the creditor for the lease contract on the 2008 Lexus. The Trustee requests the appropriate schedule be amended.
- 6. The debtor has failed to comply with 11 U.S.C. § 521 (a)(1)(B)(iii). The Statement of Financial Affairs, #1 fails to list the debtor's income for 2007.
- 7. The debtor is not in compliance with Fed. R. Bankr. P 2002(b) because the debtor failed to serve Jess & Cindy Walker a copy of the Amended Schedule D.

- 8. The debtor is not in compliance with Fed. R. Bankr. P 2002(b) and 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(1) because the debtor failed to serve all creditors a copy of the Amended Schedule C.
- 9. The Trustee is unable to determine whether all of the debtor's projected disposable income is being applied to pay unsecured creditors under the plan as required by 11 U.S.C. § 1325(b)(1)(B). The Trustee requests the debtor provide a Profit and Loss Statement for January through July 2009.
- 10. The Trustee is unable to determine whether all of the debtor's projected disposable income is being applied to pay unsecured creditors under the plan as required by 11 U.S.C. § 1325(b)(1)(B). The Trustee requests the debtor provide an itemization in the form of a declaration along with supporting documents for the business expenses listed on line 60.

Dated: October 28, 2009 /S/ Devin Derham-Burk

Chapter 13 Trustee

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

I declare that I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, not a party to the within cause; my business address is 983 University Ave. C-100, Los Gatos, California 95032. I served a copy of the within Trustee's Objection to Confirmation by placing same in an envelope in the U.S. Mail at Los Gatos, California on October 30, 2009.

Said envelopes were addressed as follows:

AMY STAVIS 236 N SANTA CRUZ AVE #247 LOS GATOS CA 95030 CHARLES GREENE 84 W SANTA CLARA ST #770 SAN JOSE CA 95113

/S/ Clotilde Costa
Office of Devin Derham-Burk, Trustee

Case: 09-56517 Doc# 32 Filed: 10/30/09 Entered: 10/30/09 12:29:51 Page 4 of 4